



Fw: Consent Calendar #8 Comments/Suggested Revisions Approval of Comment Letter Opposing San Diego Gas & Electric's Golden Powerlink Project Segment 4 Configuration

From McDonald, Cristal <CMcDonald@MurrietaCA.gov>
Date Mon 8/17/2026 10:27 AM
To Martin Van der Baan, Angela <AMartinVanderBaan@MurrietaCA.gov>
Cc Ramirez, Kimberly <KRamirez@MurrietaCA.gov>

 1 attachment (652 KB)
SDG&E Opposition Letter.pdf;



Cristal McDonald
CITY CLERK/LOCAL ELECTIONS OFFICIAL
CITY CLERK DEPARTMENT
City of Murrieta
(951) 461-6030
CMcDonald@MurrietaCA.gov
1 Town Square | Murrieta, CA 92562
www.MurrietaCA.gov | [Connect with us](#)

From: Kassen Klein <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Sunday, August 16, 2026 10:30 AM
To: Levell, Jon <JLevell@MurrietaCA.gov>; Holliday, Ron <RHolliday@MurrietaCA.gov>; DeForest, Lisa <LDeForest@MurrietaCA.gov>; Stone, Lori <LStone@MurrietaCA.gov>; Warren, Cindy <CWarren@MurrietaCA.gov>; Clifton, Justin <JClifton@MurrietaCA.gov>; Crane, Kristen <KCrane@MurrietaCA.gov>; Agajanian, Scott <SAgajanian@MurrietaCA.gov>
Cc: McDonald, Cristal <CMcDonald@MurrietaCA.gov>; Kassen Klein <[REDACTED]>
Subject: Consent Calendar #8 Comments/Suggested Revisions Approval of Comment Letter Opposing San Diego Gas & Electric's Golden Powerlink Project Segment 4 Configuration

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

See my attached mark up for consideration.

Happy to discuss further.



KASSEN KLEIN

Real Estate Development Entitlement Consulting



[Redacted phone number]



[Redacted email address]



[Redacted address]



CITY OF MURRIETA

TBD

Erica Martin, Director of Project Development
San Diego Gas & Electric
8330 Century Park Court
San Diego, CA 92123-1530

Re: SDG&E Golden Pacific Powerlink: Preliminary Route: Segment 4

Dear Ms. Martin:

On behalf of the City of Murrieta (City), I write to express the City's opposition to the preliminary routing of Segment 4 of the Golden Pacific Powerlink project through the City of Temecula and the surrounding Southwest Riverside County region.

The City recognizes the need to strengthen California's electrical transmission system and improve regional grid reliability. Our opposition is not directed at the underlying purpose of the project. Rather, the City is concerned that the preliminary Segment 4 alignment would place a major 500-kilovolt transmission corridor through a highly developed area, creating potentially significant and lasting impacts on established communities.

Southwest Riverside County has developed into an interconnected region of established neighborhoods, schools, businesses, tourism destinations, public facilities, and critical transportation corridors. Although the proposed alignment may not pass directly through Murrieta, impacts to Temecula would affect the broader region, including community character, viewsheds, economic activity, property owners, traffic circulation, emergency operations, and future land-use planning.

The City is also concerned about introducing major transmission infrastructure into a region that regularly experiences wildfire threats and emergency evacuations. Potential impacts to wildfire response, evacuation routes, public safety operations, sensitive resources, and nearby residential communities must be carefully evaluated and avoided wherever feasible. Given the scale and permanence of the proposed infrastructure, mitigation measures alone may not adequately address the impacts of routing Segment 4 through established communities.

We understand that the project remains in the early planning and preliminary design phase, and SDG&E has indicated that the route is still being refined before an application is submitted to the California Public Utilities Commission. This is therefore the appropriate time to reconsider Segment 4 and identify alternatives that avoid developed portions of Southwest Riverside County.

The City respectfully requests that SDG&E redesign Segment 4 to prioritize less developed areas, where feasible, while minimizing impacts to residences, businesses, public facilities, environmentally sensitive areas, and taking into account the need for wildfire emergency-response operations. The environmental review should include a transparent and meaningful comparison of alternative alignments and demonstrate that avoidance of established communities was given substantial consideration.

Although the formal environmental review and public-comment process has not yet begun, we request that this letter be included in the project's outreach record and considered as SDG&E develops its proposed alignment. We also request continued coordination with the cities of Murrieta and Temecula before any Segment 4 alignment is advanced for regulatory consideration.

For these reasons, the City of Murrieta opposes the current proposed routing of Segment 4 and urges SDG&E to develop an alternative that better protects the residents, businesses, and communities of Southwest Riverside County.

Sincerely,

Jon Levell,
Mayor